



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
HARRISONBURG DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,

Criminal Action No. 5:06CR00029

v.

MEMORANDUM OPINION

SHEILA ELIZABETH SCHROEDER,
Defendant.

By: Hon. Glen E. Conrad
United States District Judge

This case is presently before the court on the issue of whether the defendant should receive a reduction in sentence pursuant to the United States Sentencing Commission's retroactive application of the amended guidelines pertaining to crack cocaine. For the following reasons, the court concludes that the defendant is entitled to a reduction in sentence.

On October 2, 2006, the defendant, Sheila Elizabeth Schroeder, pled guilty, pursuant to a written plea agreement, to one count of conspiring to distribute more than 50 grams of cocaine base, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(A) and 846. The defendant was sentenced on February 22, 2007. Under the advisory sentencing guidelines, the defendant had a total offense level of 33 and a criminal history category of IV, resulting in a guideline range of imprisonment of 188 to 235 months. The court granted a substantial assistance motion filed by the government pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3553(e) and U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1, and ultimately sentenced the defendant to a 90-month term of imprisonment.

Pursuant to its statutory authority, the Sentencing Commission amended the sentencing guidelines applicable to criminal cases involving crack cocaine, or cocaine base, effective November 1, 2007. Specifically, the Sentencing Commission amended the drug quantity table set forth in U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1(c), such that crack cocaine quantities were generally lowered by two levels. On December 11, 2007, the Sentencing Commission further decided that, effective March 3, 2008, the amended guideline provisions will apply retroactively to offenders who were sentenced

under prior versions of the sentencing guidelines, and who are still incarcerated. Stated generally, the practical effect of the Sentencing Commission's actions is that certain federal defendants convicted of offenses involving crack cocaine may be eligible for a reduction in their current sentences, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2).

Under the amended guidelines, the defendant has a total offense level of 31, resulting in a guideline range of imprisonment of 151 to 188 months. On February 5, 2008, the court notified the parties that the court proposed to reduce the defendant's term of imprisonment from 90 months to 72 months.* The government subsequently objected to the proposed sentence reduction, and the defendant has now filed a response. For the reasons that follow, the court will overrule the government's objections and effect the proposed reduction in the defendant's sentence.

The government makes two objections to the proposed reduction. The government first asserts that the defendant admitted to participating in a conspiracy in which she assisted in the distribution of at least 500 grams of crack cocaine. The government also objects to the proposed sentence reduction on the basis that the defendant has prior felony convictions for welfare fraud, assault and battery, shoplifting, and petit larceny. While the court recognizes that the defendant helped facilitate the distribution of a large quantity of crack cocaine, that quantity was specifically taken into consideration when the court originally sentenced the defendant. Indeed, the defendant was specifically held responsible for at least 500 grams of crack cocaine. Likewise, while the defendant's criminal history includes multiple offenses, the same criminal history was taken into

* The 72-month term of imprisonment proposed by the court represents a reduction from the amended guideline range that is comparable to the term of imprisonment that the defendant originally received in light of the government's substantial assistance motion. See U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10(b)(2)(B) (2007 Supp.) ("If the original term of imprisonment imposed was less than the term of imprisonment provided by the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing, a reduction comparably less than the amended guideline range determined under subdivision (1) of this subsection may be appropriate.").

consideration when the defendant was originally sentenced. Consequently, the court concludes that neither the quantity of crack cocaine attributable to the defendant nor the defendant's criminal history should now prevent her from benefitting from the amended guidelines.

The court notes that in sentencing this defendant, the court relied heavily on the advisory guidelines in establishing a starting point for determining a fair and just sentence. In the court's view, the amendments to the guidelines pertaining to crack cocaine represent a change in the fundamental philosophy and statistical assessment upon which the advisory guidelines are premised. In accord with this change in philosophy, and having considered the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) and U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10 (2007 Supp.), the court will reduce the defendant's sentence to 72 months. All other terms of the original sentence will remain the same.

The Clerk is directed to send certified copies of this memorandum opinion and the accompanying order to the defendant and all counsel of record.

ENTER: This 7th day of March, 2008.



United States District Judge